

Duality and Light Cone Symmetries of the Equations of Motion

Robert de Mello Koch

*Physics Department and Centre for Nonlinear Studies
University of the Witwatersrand, Wits 2050, South Africa*

and

João P. Rodrigues¹

*Physics Department and Centre for Nonlinear Studies²
University of the Witwatersrand, Wits 2050, South Africa*

and

*Department of Physics
Brown University, Providence, RI 02912*

The matrix theory description of the discrete light cone quantization of M theory on a T^2 is studied. In terms of its super Yang-Mills description, we identify symmetries of the equations of motion corresponding to independent rescalings of one of the world sheet light cone coordinates, which show how the S duality of Type IIB string theory is realized as a Nahm-type transformation. In the M theory description this corresponds to a simple 9 – 11 flip.

¹ Work supported in part by the Department of Energy under contract DE-FG02-91ER40688-A.

² Permanent Address

Dualities play a central role in our current understanding of string and supersymmetric gauge theories [1]. Since supergravity is the low energy limit of string theory, a proper understanding of the symmetries present in these supergravity theories is bound to shed light on string theory. This has in fact proved to be a very useful approach, culminating in a comprehensive classification of U duality symmetries acting in various compactified and uncompactified string theories [2].

Recently, Susskind put forward a remarkable conjecture [3] linking the discrete light cone quantization of M theory on $T^d \times R^{10-d} \times S^1$ to a $U(N)$ super Yang-Mills theory on $T^d \times R$ for finite N . (This was preceded by the m(atrix) theory conjecture of [4]; a derivation of both conjectures as well as the relationship between the two has been suggested in [5].) For $d < 4$, the super Yang-Mills theory provides a complete description whilst for $d > 3$ it is only valid as a low energy description. Based on our experience with string theory, it is natural to expect that a great deal of information about M theory is contained in these super Yang-Mills theories, in much the same way that supergravity provides important insights into string theory [6]. Conversely, since super Yang-Mills theories are interesting in their own right, it is also interesting to see what can be learnt about Yang-Mills theories from M theory.

Super Yang-Mills theories have the well known property that all dependence on the coupling constant g_{YM} can be factored out of the Lagrangian density. Since in general g_{YM} is dimensionful, it immediately follows that the action will scale homogeneously under a worldvolume scale transformation (i.e., by multiplication by a d dependent power of the dimensionless parameter of the transformation). A scale transformation will also change the volume of the compactification torii and therefore scale transformations provide a possible framework in which one can study the dependence of coupling constants on compactification radii. Since the action transforms homogeneously, it follows that these transformations are symmetries of the classical equations of motion but they are *not* in general symmetries of the action.

With this in mind, we concentrate in this letter on the $d = 1$ case, and try to see what insights can be gained from the description of M theory in terms of a super Yang-Mills theory. This theory corresponds to M theory on a T^2 , or to a two dimensional super Yang-Mills theory on an S^1 . Introducing world-sheet light cone coordinates $\sigma^\pm$, we point out symmetries of the equations of motion that allow one to rescale σ^+ and σ^- *independently*. We will refer to these independent light cone rescalings as "lico" scale transformations.

We will then use the σ^- "lico" scale tranformation to establish the existence of a Nahm-type transformation mixing the rank of the gauge group and the electric flux. This can be identified with S duality in Type IIB string theory and with a flip of the two cycles of the T^2 in M theory. As we show, flipping two cycles in M theory is a trivial operation, so that the link between the discrete light cone quantization of M theory and super Yang-Mills theory explains the existence of Nahm transformations. Similar points of view have recently appeared in [7], [8]; the relevance of Nahm transformations was first pointed out in [9].

Our starting point is the M(atrix) description of M theory [4]. The relevant Lagrangian, written in units where the string scale $l_s = 1$, is [4],

$$L = \frac{1}{2g} \text{tr} \left[\dot{X}^I \dot{X}^I + 2i\bar{\theta}\dot{\theta} + \frac{1}{2} [X^I, X^J]^2 - 2\bar{\theta}\gamma_I [\theta, X^I] \right], \quad l_p^3 = R_{11} l_s^2. \quad (1)$$

The relationship between the Planck scale and string scale identifies the compactification radius R_{11} with the type IIA string coupling constant g . From this Lagrangian a Hamiltonian H follows in the usual manner. As $R_{11} \rightarrow 0$, the diagonal entries of the $N \times N$ hermitean matrices $X^I, I = 1, \dots, 9$ are associated with the coordinates of N D particles in type IIA string theory, in the sector with total momentum $P = N/R_{11}$. In this limit and for finite N , a suitable redefinition of parameters can be made [5] that maps the above theory to the M(atrix) description of the DLCQ of M theory [3] in the sector $P^+ = N/R$. R is a lightlike compactification radius [5].

To compactify the theory (1) on a transverse circle of radius R_9 , instead of choosing to identify the coordinate X^9 with a covariant derivative [10], we take the more pedestrian approach followed originally in [4] and [11] of tessellating the X^9 coordinate by requiring

$$\begin{aligned} X_{n,n}^9 &= X_{n-1,n-1}^9 + 2\pi R_9, & X_{n,m}^9 &= X_{n-1,m-1}^9 \\ X_{n,n}^I &= X_{n-1,n-1}^I, & X_{n,m}^I &= X_{n-1,m-1}^I, \quad I = 1, \dots, 8. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where each $X_{n,m}^I$ is a block matrix. After identifying $X_{m,n}^I = X_{m-n}^I = X_{n-m}^{I\dagger}$, we find [11]

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \frac{1}{2R_{11}} \text{tr} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{I=1}^9 \dot{X}_n^I \dot{X}_{-n}^I - \sum_{J=1}^8 S_n^J (S_n^J)^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{J,K=1}^8 T_n^{JK} (T_n^{JK})^\dagger \right], \\ S_n^J &= \sum_q [X_q^9, X_{n-q}^J] - 2\pi R_9 n X_n^J, \\ T_n^{JK} &= \sum_q [X_q^J, X_{n-q}^K]. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The interpretation of the fields appearing in the above Lagrangian is that the X_0^I , $I = 1, \dots, 9$ describe a configuration of $D0$ branes whilst the X_n^I , $I = 1, \dots, 8$ describe open strings stretching between these D particles which wind n times around the compact dimension x^9 . This is easily verified by means of a simple semi-classical computation [11].

The (t-dependent) solution

$$X_0^9 = \frac{R_{11}}{R_9} \frac{n}{N} t \quad 1_{N \times N}, \quad (4)$$

with $1_{N \times N}$ the $N \times N$ unit matrix, carries KK momentum n/R_9 around R_9 .

Introducing the expansions

$$A^9 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_n e^{inx/\bar{R}_9} X_n^9 \quad X^I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_n e^{inx/\bar{R}_9} X_n^I, \quad I = 1, \dots, 8 \quad (5)$$

we find the action of the T dual, two dimensional super Yang Mills theory

$$S = \int_0^{\bar{R}_9} \frac{dt dx^9 l_s^2}{2R_{11} R_9^{-1}} \text{tr} \left[\dot{X}^I \dot{X}^I + \dot{A}^9 \dot{A}^9 - (\partial_9 X^I - i[A^9, X^I])^2 + \frac{1}{2} [X^I, X^J]^2 \right. \\ \left. + 2i\bar{\theta} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \theta + 2\bar{\theta} \gamma_I [X^I, \theta] \right], \quad P = \frac{N}{R_{11}} \quad (6)$$

of $D1$ branes [11] [12]. As usual, $\bar{R}_9 = \frac{l_s^2}{R_9}$. We have reinstated the fermions and l_s for fields with the dimensions appropriate to a SYM description.

The solution (4) translates into an electric flux on the worldsheet of the D string in the T dual picture. The electric flux is quantized in units of $\bar{R}_9$

$$E = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{A}^9} = \frac{\dot{A}^9 l_s^4}{R_{11}} = \bar{R}_9 \frac{n}{N} 1_{N \times N}, \quad \dot{A}^9 = \frac{R_{11}}{R_9} \frac{n}{N} \frac{1}{l_s^2} 1_{N \times N}. \quad (7)$$

Its SYM energy is given by

$$E_{SYM} = \frac{n^2}{2N} \frac{R_{11}}{R_9^2} \quad (8)$$

The M theoretic nine dimensional mass of this non-threshold BPS state in a $ND1$ background is:

$$m^2 = \frac{N^2}{R_{11}^2} + \frac{n^2}{R_9^2} = \frac{1}{R_9^2} \left[\left(\frac{R_9}{R_{11}} \right)^2 N^2 + n^2 \right]. \quad (9)$$

This can be argued as follows. Certainly

$$E_{SYM} = \lim_{P \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sqrt{P^2 + 2PE_{SYM}} - P \right], \quad (10)$$

and if in addition to $R_{11} \rightarrow 0$ we let $l_s \rightarrow 0$ with R_{11}/l_p^2 and R_9/l_p constant [5], then the string oscillators decouple. The energy E_{SYM} as well as the parameters $g_{YM}^2 = R_{11}/(R_9 l_s^2)$ and $\bar{R}_9$ of the action (6) remain finite.

We will interpret equation (9) in terms of strings winding the cycle of length $\bar{R}_9$. In this case, this formula matches the mass of a string carrying N units of RR charge and n units of $NS - NS$ charge, in Type IIB string theory [13]. In addition, the Type IIB string coupling is $g = R_{11}/R_9$, in agreement with the identification of (6) as a low energy effective action of $D1$ branes [14].

It is well known that Type IIB string theory has an S duality which acts by inverting the string coupling constant and exchanging $NS - NS$ and RR charged states. We are interested in understanding how this duality arises in the super Yang-Mills description we are considering here. Towards this end, we rewrite this action in light cone coordinates $\sigma^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x^0 \pm x^9)$ and in the lightcone gauge $A_- = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{l_s^2}{2R_{11}R_9^{-1}} \int d\sigma^+ \int_0^{\tilde{R}} d\sigma^- \text{tr} \left[2\partial_+ X^I \partial_- X^I + i\sqrt{2}\theta_R^T \partial_+ \theta_R + i\sqrt{2}\theta_L^T \partial_- \theta_L + J^+ A_{+-} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2(\partial_- A_+)^2 + \frac{1}{2}[X^I, X^J]^2 + 2\theta_L^T \gamma_I [X^I, \theta_R] \right], \\ J^+ &= 2\theta_R^T \theta_R + 2i[X^I, \partial_- X^I], \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The limits of integration in this equation are to be understood as follows: due to the two-dimensional Lorentz invariance of the Lagrangian density, one can perform a large boost with parameter

$$\beta = \frac{\tilde{R}}{\sqrt{\tilde{R}^2 + 2\bar{R}_9^2}} \approx 1 - \frac{\bar{R}_9^2}{\tilde{R}^2} \quad (12)$$

which maps the range of integration in equation (6) to the lightlike S^1 compactification of equation (11), in the limit $\tilde{R} \gg \bar{R}_9$. This argument is essentially similar to the space-time argument of [5].

The Euler-Lagrange equations which follow from (11) are

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_-^2 A_+ &= -\frac{1}{4}J^+, \\
\partial_- \theta_L &= \frac{i\gamma_I}{\sqrt{2}}[X^I, \theta_R], \\
4\partial_+ \partial_- X^I &= 2i\left(2[\partial_- X^I, A_+] + [X^I, \partial_- A_+]\right) + 2[X^J, [X^I, X^J]] - 2(\gamma_I)_{\alpha\beta}\{\theta_L^{T\alpha}, \theta_R^\beta\}, \\
i\partial_+ \theta_R &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[A_+, \theta_R] - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[X^I, \theta_L]\gamma_I.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Using the above equations of motion, it is not difficult to show that purely bosonic solutions with $\theta_{L,R} = 0$ exist. For this set of solutions and in the case when the X^I 's are mutually commuting, one obtains the equation

$$i\partial_+ \partial_-^2 A_+ = \frac{1}{4}[\partial_-^2 A_+, A_+]. \tag{14}$$

This equation has been studied extensively in [15], [16]. It is of the Lax form and for $SU(2)$ has a rich structure including multi-soliton solutions, an auto-Bäcklund transformation and a non-linear superposition principle [16]. It also has a diffeomorphism invariance in the σ^- coordinate which is not a symmetry of the action, as first pointed out in [15].

It is not difficult to verify that the set of transformations of the form $\sigma^- \rightarrow a\sigma^-$, $\sigma^+ \rightarrow \sigma^+$ with a a constant are symmetries of (13), with the fields scaling as

$$\begin{aligned}
A_+(\sigma^+, \sigma^-) &\rightarrow A'_+(\sigma^+, \sigma^-) = A_+(\sigma^+, a\sigma^-), \\
X^I(\sigma^+, \sigma^-) &\rightarrow X'^I(\sigma^+, \sigma^-) = \sqrt{a}X^I(\sigma^+, a\sigma^-), \\
\theta_R(\sigma^+, \sigma^-) &\rightarrow \theta'_R(\sigma^+, \sigma^-) = a\theta_R(\sigma^+, a\sigma^-), \\
\theta_L(\sigma^+, \sigma^-) &\rightarrow \theta'_L(\sigma^+, \sigma^-) = \sqrt{a}\theta_L(\sigma^+, a\sigma^-), \\
J^+(\sigma^+, \sigma^-) &\rightarrow J'^+(\sigma^+, \sigma^-) = a^2J^+(\sigma^+, a\sigma^-).
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Under the symmetry (15) the Lagrangian transforms as

$$\frac{1}{2g\bar{R}_9} \int_0^{\bar{R}} d\sigma^- L(A_+, J^+, X^I, \theta_R, \theta_L) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2ga\bar{R}_9} \int_0^{\bar{R}/a} d\sigma^- L(A_+, J^+, X^I, \theta_R, \theta_L) \tag{16}$$

explicitly demonstrating that (15) is not a symmetry of the action: indeed the action is a homogeneous function under the scaling (15). This is independent of the choice of gauge.

These transformations can be understood in a more fundamental way by verifying that the theory has two independent length scales for the σ^+ and σ^- coordinates. Introducing

$$[\sigma^+] = l_+ \quad [\sigma^-] = l_-, \quad (17)$$

and requiring that all of the terms appearing in the Lagrangian have the same dimension, leads to the following dimensions for the different fields entering into the action

$$\begin{aligned} [A_+] &= l_-^0 l_+^{-1} \\ [A_-] &= l_-^{-1} l_+^0 \\ [X^I] &= l_-^{-\frac{1}{2}} l_+^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ [\theta_R] &= l_-^{-1} l_+^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ [\theta_L] &= l_-^{-\frac{1}{2}} l_+^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The symmetries of (15) are then seen to correspond to scale transformations in the σ^- coordinate. It follows that a symmetry rescaling only the σ^+ coordinate is also present, or more precisely, the equations of motion have a two parameter set of light cone symmetries $\sigma^- \rightarrow a\sigma^-$ and $\sigma^+ \rightarrow b\sigma^+$ with the corresponding field transformations respecting the light cone dimensions (18) .

These transformations can also be understood as compositions of the usual scale transformation of both coordinates with a Lorentz boost. This alternative interpretation allows an easy generalization of higher dimensional world volumes. As indicated earlier, to stress the light cone nature of the above scale transformations, we will refer to them as "lico scale transformations". ³

We will now specialize to the parameter ⁴

$$a = \frac{l_s^2}{l_s'^2} \frac{R_{11}}{R_9}. \quad (19)$$

anticipating a different string scale l_s' after the transformation. The relationship between the two different string lengths will be discussed later.

After boosting back, the action (6) maps into

³ We remark that it has recently been pointed out that the equations of motion of type *IIB* supergravity in the bosonic sector have a (field) rescaling symmetry not present at the level of the action [17].

⁴ We set $b = 1$, ie, we only consider σ^- rescalings in this letter.

$$S = \int_0^{\bar{R}_{11}} \frac{dt dx^9 l_s'^2 R_9^2}{2R_{11}^2} \text{tr} \left[\dot{X}^I \dot{X}^I + \dot{A}^9 \dot{A}^9 - (\partial_9 X^I - i[A^9, X^I])^2 + \frac{1}{2} [X^I, X^J]^2 \right. \\ \left. + 2i\bar{\theta}\gamma^\mu D_\mu \theta + 2\bar{\theta}\gamma_I [X^I, \theta] \right] \quad P = \frac{N}{R_{11}}. \quad (20)$$

In the above $\bar{R}_{11} = l_s'^2/R_{11}$. Let us consider now the state with flux quantized in units of $\bar{R}_{11}$:

$$E = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{A}^9} = \frac{\dot{A}^9 l_s'^4 R_9^2}{R_{11}^3} = \bar{R}_{11} \frac{n}{N} 1_{N \times N}, \quad \dot{A}^9 = \frac{R_{11}^2}{R_9^2} \frac{n}{N} \frac{1}{l_s'^2} 1_{N \times N}. \quad (21)$$

Its SYM energy is

$$E'_{SYM} = \frac{n^2}{2N} \frac{R_{11}}{R_9^2} \quad (22)$$

and one finds that the M-theoretic nine dimensional mass associated with the state is *identical* to that of (9):

$$m^2 = \frac{N^2}{R_{11}^2} + \frac{n^2}{R_9^2} = \frac{1}{R_{11}^2} \left[N^2 + \left(\frac{R_{11}}{R_9} \right)^2 n^2 \right]. \quad (23)$$

This mass must now be interpreted in terms of strings winding $\bar{R}_{11}$. In this case, we find that we have the mass of a state with N units of $NS - NS$ charge and n units of RR charge. In addition, we can read off the string coupling as R_9/R_{11} , which has been inverted. In other words, in this implementation of the symmetry one obtains a description of the same state from different points of view.

Clearly then, the lico scale transformation implements a transformation involving a "9 – 11 flip"; in terms of the Yang-Mills picture, we have swapped the role of electric flux with the rank N of the gauge group which is reminiscent of a Nahm transformation. This has been the subject of a number of recent studies [18].

There are a few important points which should now be addressed. First, since the string tension remains invariant under a T duality, the "9 – 11 flip" must be responsible for the change in string tension associated with the duality exchanging RR charges and $NS - NS$ charges. The easiest way to see this is to note that in Planck units one is simply exchanging R_9 and R_{11} . Before the flip, the relationship between the string scale and the Planck length is $l_p^3 = R_{11} l_s^2$. However, after the flip we have $l_p^3 = R_9 l_s^2$. Therefore

$$l_s'^{-2} = \frac{R_9}{R_{11}} l_s^{-2} = g^{-1} l_s^{-2}. \quad (24)$$

Clearly, with this identification, equation (20) is simply a rewriting of the action (6), with the parameter of the "lico" scale transformation $a = 1$. Remarkably, the correct action is obtained independently of the relationship between the two string lengths, providing consistency to the *SYM* formalism and in particular to the implementation of our symmetry.

Let us now analyze the above transformation on the parameters of the theory, in constant string units. One straightforwardly obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_9 &= \frac{l_s^2}{R_9} \rightarrow \frac{l_s'^2}{R_9'} = \frac{l_s'^2}{R_{11}} = \frac{l_s^2}{R_9} = \bar{R}_9 \\ g_{YM}^2 &= \frac{g}{l_s^2} = \frac{R_{11}}{R_9 l_s^2} \rightarrow \frac{R_9}{R_{11} l_s'^2} = \frac{R_9^2}{R_{11}^2 l_s^2} = g_{YM}^2 \left(\frac{R_9}{R_{11}} \right)^3 \\ g &= \frac{R_{11}}{R_9} \rightarrow g' = \frac{1}{g}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Can one implement the transformation in this form using the "lico" symmetries? The answer is yes: consider the "lico" scale transformation with parameter $a = R_{11}/R_9$. One obtains

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int_0^{\frac{l_s^2}{R_{11}}} \frac{dt dx^9 l_s^2 R_9^2}{2 R_{11}^2} \text{tr} \left[\dot{X}^I \dot{X}^I + \dot{A}^9 \dot{A}^9 - (\partial_9 X^I - i[A^9, X^I])^2 + \frac{1}{2} [X^I, X^J]^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2i\bar{\theta}\gamma^\mu D_\mu \theta + 2\bar{\theta}\gamma_I [X^I, \theta] \right] \quad P = \frac{N}{R_{11}}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Since the duality (25) requires $\bar{R}_9$ to remain invariant, in order to be able to compare with (6), we simply relabel $R_9 \leftrightarrow R_{11}$ in (26), and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int_0^{\frac{l_s^2}{\bar{R}_9}} \frac{dt dx^9 l_s^2 R_{11}^2}{2 R_9^2} \text{tr} \left[\dot{X}^I \dot{X}^I + \dot{A}^9 \dot{A}^9 - (\partial_9 X^I - i[A^9, X^I])^2 + \frac{1}{2} [X^I, X^J]^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2i\bar{\theta}\gamma^\mu D_\mu \theta + 2\bar{\theta}\gamma_I [X^I, \theta] \right] \quad P = \frac{N}{R_9}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

which precisely reproduces the change in the *SYM* coupling predicted by (25). Calculating the *SYM* energy of the electric flux state of (27) quantized in units of $\bar{R}_9$, as done previously, we obtain:

$$E'_{SYM} = \frac{n^2}{2N} \frac{R_9}{R_{11}^2} \quad (28)$$

Comparison with (8) shows explicitly that the two states have been obtained from each other by the exchange $R_9 \leftrightarrow R_{11}$.

One should notice that the rescalings [5] taking the theory (6) in the sector with momentum $P = N/R_{11}$ and compactification radius R_9 to the matrix DLCQ of M theory in the sector with $P^+ = N/R$ (R is light like) and corresponding compactification radius $\tilde{R}_9$ (say) leave E_{SYM} invariant. Therefore, after the duality transformation one would arrive at (28) with R_{11} and R_9 replaced by R and $\tilde{R}_9$, respectively. Therefore in the matrix DLCQ of M theory, the above duality exchanges the null circle R with the space-like circle $\tilde{R}_9$. There is evidence that apparently this is to be expected [19] (as referred to in [6] and [7]). This is also related to the need to consider the range of integration in (11) as that of a light like circle in a static gauge, although this aspect deserves further study outside the scope of this letter.

If one associates for large P a nine dimensional M-theoretic mass to the electric flux state, as done previously, one obtains

$$m^2 = \frac{n^2}{R_{11}^2} + \frac{N^2}{R_9^2}. \quad (29)$$

One sees explicitly the roles of R_9 and R_{11} (or equivalently n and N exchanged. In this case duality is implemented by changing the mass of the state (9).

This duality has recently been discussed in [7] [8], where it was designated N-duality. It was shown that N-duality is essential to the extension of the U duality group E_d [20] to the full E_{d+1} U-duality group in the YM description of m(atrix) theory on T^{d+1} .

In summary, the "lico" scale transformation has three very different interpretations: In the Yang-Mills theory, it plays the role of a Nahm type of transformation swapping the roles of the electric flux and the rank of the gauge group. In the Type IIB theory, it plays the role of an S duality taking us from a theory with coupling $g = R_{11}/R_9$ and string tension l_s^{-2} , to a new theory with coupling g^{-1} and string tension $g^{-1}l_s^{-2}$. In M theory, it is a trivial transformation corresponding to swapping the two cycles of the T^2 on which the theory is compactified.

Finally, we should remark that "9-11 flip" discussed here is not related to the "9-11 flip" of references [10]. Indeed, inspection of equations (20) and (27) shows that in

both cases the radius of the SYM S^1 is *not* the direction associated with the (*IIA*) string coupling, ie the direction chosen to relate the Planck and string lengths.

Note Added This work is an expanded and clarified version of our previous results reported in [21].

Acknowledgements R.de M.K. is grateful to the Centre for Nonlinear Studies at Wits for a travel grant allowing him to participate in the "CERN Workshop on Branes and Non-perturbative Dualities", and to the organizers and lecturers of the workshop for providing such a stimulating forum for discussion. J.P.R. thanks the High Energy Theory Group at Brown for their hospitality. This research is partially supported by the FRD under grant number GUN-2034479.

References

- [1] E. Witten, *String theory dynamics in various dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. B443 (1995) 85, hep-th 9503124.
- [2] C.M. Hull and P.K. Townsend, *The Unity of Superstring Dualities*, Nucl.Phys.B438 (1995) 109, hep-th 9410167.
- [3] L. Susskind, *Another Conjecture about M(atrix) Theory*, hep-th 9704080.
- [4] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S.H. Shenker and L. Susskind, *M Theory as a Matrix Model: A Conjecture*, Phys. Rev. D55 (1997) 112.
- [5] N. Seiberg, *Why is the Matrix Model Correct?*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 3577, hep-th 9710009;
A. Sen, *D0-branes on T^N and matrix theory*, hep-th/9709220.
- [6] C.M. Hull, *Matrix Theory, U duality and Toroidal Compactifications of M theory*, hep-th 9711179.
- [7] M. Blau and M. O'Loughlin, *Aspects of U duality in Matrix Theory*, hep-th 9712047.
- [8] C.M. Hull, *U Duality and BPS Spectrum of Super Yang- Mills and M-theory*, hep-th 9712075;
N.A. Obers, B. Pioline and E. Rabinovici, *M-theory and U-duality on T^d with Gauge Backgrounds*, hep-th 9712084.
- [9] F. Hacquebord and H. Verlinde, *Duality Symmetries of $N=4$ super Yang-Mills theory on T^3* , Nucl. Phys. B508 (1997) 609, hep- th 9707179.
- [10] L.Motl, *Proposals on Nonperturbative Superstring Interactions*, hep-th 9701025;
T.Banks and N.Seiberg, *Strings from Matrices*, Nucl. Phys. B497 (1997) 41, hep-th 9702187;
R.Dijkgraaf, E.Verlinde and H.Verlinde, *Matrix String Theory*, Nucl. Phys. B500 (1997) 43, hep-th 9703030.
- [11] W. Taylor, *D-brane field theory on compact spaces*, Phys. Lett. B394 (1997) 283, hep-th 9611042.
- [12] T. Banks, *Matrix Theory*, hep-th 9710231;
D. Bigatti and L. Susskind, *Review of Matrix Theory*, hep-th 9712072.
- [13] J.H.Schwarz, *Lectures on Superstring and M Theory Dualities*, Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. 46 (1996) 30, hep-th 9607201;
J.H.Schwarz, *The power of M theory*, Phys.Lett. B367 (1996) 97, hep-th 9510086;
J.H.Schwarz, *An $SL(2, Z)$ multiplet of type IIB superstrings*, Phys. Lett. B360 (1995) 13; erratum ibid. B364 (1995) 252; hep-th 9508143;
P.S. Aspinwall, *Some relationships between dualities in string theory*, Nucl.Phys. Proc.Suppl. 46 (1996) 30, hep-th 9508154.
- [14] J. Polchinski, *TASI Lectures on D-branes*, hep-th 9611050.

- [15] R. de Mello Koch and J.P. Rodrigues, *Classical integrability of chiral QCD_2 and classical curves*, hep-th 9701138, to be published Mod. Phys. Lett. A12 (1997) 2445.
- [16] R. de Mello Koch and J.P. Rodrigues, *The dynamics of classical chiral QCD_2 currents*, hep-th 9708080.
- [17] E.Cremmer, H.Lü, C.N.Pope and K.S.Stelle, *Spectrum- generating Symmetries from BPS Solitons*, hep-th 9707207.
- [18] H. Verlinde, *Interpretation of the Large N Loop Equation*, hep-th 9705029;
S. Gukov, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, *Dynamics of $(n, 1)$ strings*, hep-th 9711112.
- [19] C.Hull and B. Julia, in preparation
- [20] S. Elitzur et al, *Algebraic Aspects of Matrix Theory on T^d* , Nucl. Phys. B509 (1998) 122, hep-th 9707217.
- [21] R.de Mello Koch and J.P. Rodrigues, *Duality and Symmetries of the Equations of motion*, hep-th 9709089v1.